Al and the Writer How Language Models Support Creative Writers

Thesis Defense Katy Ilonka Gero

Advisor:Prof. Lydia ChiltonCommittee:Kathy McKeown, Brian Smith, Eytan Adar, Kyle BootenDate:November 11th, 2022







He and Anna Flood had returned two days after The deback (?) at the Convent and it took pur daup for him to learn the truth I what happend. Pat talk him all She knew, an god Limon Cany and Seria fullian. But it was from Land Klufres that perfinent defails were supplemented the official stories: " that 9 men bod gave to talk to and persuade the Convent waren to leave or ment their way: there has been a fight. The Official story 2 "Was that 5 mer had gone to evict The worm. I more had gone to stop them; then four more attacked by them out and they took off in their Cadellac. Jullians - but reither had decided on the entire .* They had left the prenses Certain that the Pawmen would be Swarmier all over fully - artesting almost all of the business only the fears there are was no need to explain wound to the hasphe because that there were no dead Richard and Anna doubted this Convenient disappearance and weat to look for themselves. They fourto a spinety white for themselves. They fourto a crib in a be drome with Devine topol to the door, there was nothing recently lived in about the place. The chickens were wilding on half- eater by Xingentide that human touch. Pepper bushes were in full flower. but the rest of the garden was a disgrace.

Individuality

When writers discussed a support actor, the details of the actor were important. Not all people were the same, and the individual characteristics of a person (or computer program) impacted not only who they turned to for support, but what they did with the support provided.

W2 describes how he considers the expertise of the person when considering their feedback. He talked about how a scientist may be relied upon to point out a factual error, but may not be trusted to critique the quality of an opening paragraph. S19 even described situations where negative feedback may indicate he's on the right track, saying "I can kind of place their feedback into preference categories... there's certain aspects where I know if a certain person doesn't like that, then it's exactly what I want to achieve in that part of the story." W9, on imagining a computer reading her work, said, "I would also need to know the reading background. Is this a high school, college, PhD student? What is their level of experience of the topic at hand, so on and so forth? Are they a skeptic or optimist? There's a lot of things to consider."

W7 explained that during her MFA program, she came to learn the kinds of feedback different people would give, saying that when "you're offering [your writing] to a specific person, you kind of know the things that they're into, you know that one person is always going to tell you to like make it weirder or that one person is going to tell you to like add a bird." Similarly, W8 discussed how the life experience or writing interests of a peer would dictate who she would send it to, saying "Since my brother is also Indian, if I want to know how something reads to another Indian person, I will show him. But then if I'm writing a story about girlhood, I'll send it to my friend Jen, who also writes about girlhood."

When discussing a computer support actor, several writers talked about the impossibility of a "universal" reader. W10 worried that computers would represent only a dominant perspective, saying, "The 'universal' perspective has been the perspective of cis straight white men and any other perspective is just not considered universal." Others noted that, based on their understanding of how such a computer program might work, it would reflect generalizations of its training data, and lose the individuality that people provide. W6 described the uniqueness of humans in this way: "Let's just say there's a 1%, that is unpredictable, a response they'll have that does not fit the pattern ... I'm interested in that 1%, too. I like the inherent unpredictability of a person."

SudoWrite users were able to articulate the unique characteristics of SudoWrite. S20 describes their sense of SudoWrite:

A peer is someone who is grounded in a very specific point of view, and culture and identity and preference, you know, their own reading habits and a peer can be a very valuable partner ... when I turn to SudoWrite, I know that I'm getting feedback and interactions with my work that is not personal at all, but is very targeted in a date in the, in the sense of like data targeting. ... The amount of information on hand that SudoWrite is pulling from is this vast trove. And that's something that a human could never, even if they're well read, could really never achieve.





To make a Dadaist poem "Take a newspaper. Take a pair of scissors. Choose an article as long as you are planning o make your poer. Cut out the article. Then cut out each of he words that make up this article and put them in a bag. Shake it gently. Then take out the scraps one after the other in the or er in which they left the bag. Copy conscientiously. The poem will be like you. And here you are a writer, infinitely original and endowed with a sensibility that is charming though beyond the understanding of the vulgar.



novel, surprising, engaging

creative

8

 Take a pair of scissors.

 Choose an article as long as you are planning

 Choose an article as long as you are planning

 In make your poen.

 Cut out the article.

 Then cut out each of he ords that make up

 this article and put them in a bag.

 Shake it gently.

 Then take out the scraps one after the other m

 the or er in which they left the bag.

 Copy conscientiously.

 The poem will be like you.

 And here you are a writer, infinitely original

 and endowed with a sensibility that is charming

To make a Dadaist poem

"Take a newspaper.

<u>To make a Dadaist poem</u>

"Take a newspaper.

Take a pair of scissors.

Choose an article as long as you are planning

to make your poem.

Cut out the article.

Then cut out each of the words that make up

this article and put them in a bag.

Shake it gently.

Then take out the scraps one after the other in

the order in which they left the bag.

Copy conscientiously.

constrained

coherent, sensible, within genre bounds





generative programs that can generate text



7



How can generative systems support writers in constrained, creative tasks?

Research Question:



This thesis demonstrates that generative writing systems can support writers in constrained, creative tasks by providing **inspiration**, **translation**, and **perspective**;

furthermore, this thesis finds that **social dynamics modulate writers' response** to such systems across writer desires, perception of support, and values.



Contribution 1 Design Space

Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria

Supporting writing extended metaphors.



Contribution 3 Sparks Supporting writing scientific explanations.

Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.

| 0 | GeneticJen |
|----------------------------|--|
| So f ama awe Thre | today I was talking about evolution being azing and literally every animal being esome and I'm told the dung beetle isn't. |
| 10:50 A | M - 14 Aug 2017 |
| 7,108 🗆 | ietweets 10,285 Likes 🏾 🎆 🚷 🍓 🚳 🚳 🕼 |
| Q 26 | 1 10 7.1K V 10K M |
| ۲ | Tweet your reply |
| 0 | Jennifer Harrison @GeneticJen - 14 Aug 2017 V Replying to @GeneticJen |
| Ĩ | "It rolls poo". Yeah so lemme talk about that for a second. You can either roll non, or you wait to ambush another beetle rolling poo |
| | Q 4 1⊒ 42 ♡ 588 ⊡ |
| 9 | Jennifer Harrison @GeneticJen - 14 Aug 2017 So if you're rolling poo, you wanna roll it the hell out of there as fast as possible in case you're going to get ambused |
| | ♀ 2 13 36 ♥ 489 ♥ |
| 8 | Jennifer Harrison @GeneticJen - 14 Aug 2017 Fastest way to get somewhere? A straight line. So dung beetles push their ball in as straight line as possible. Simple, right? |
| | |





Contribution 1 Design Space Defining the landscape

of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria

Supporting writing extended metaphors.

Background

Psychology, NLP, and HCI.

Introduction Leveraging technology for new ways to write. Contribution 3 Sparks Supporting writing scientific explanations. Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.



Conclusion

Future work and acknowledgements.



11

Cognitive Process Model of Writing



Flower & Hayes, 1981



Key takeaways:

This model move beyond thinking of writing as a monolith. I'm studying planning (idea generation, goal setting).



Natural Language Generation

This impression would persist for some moments after I was awake; it did not disturb my mind, but it lay like scales upon my eyes and prevented them from registering the fact that the candle was no longer

> Next word prediction for language modeling

Key takeaway: Large language models have potential, but aren't a panacea.





For a long time I used to go to bed early. Sometimes, when I had put out my candle, my eyes would close so quickly that I had not even time to say "I'm going to sleep." And half an hour later the thought that it was time to go to sleep would awaken me; I would try to put away the book which, I imagined, was still in my hands, and to blow out the light; I had been thinking all the time, while I was asleep, of what I had just been reading, but my thoughts had run into a channel of their own, until I myself seemed actually to have become the subject of my book: a church, a quartet, the rivalry between François I and Charles V. This impression would persist for some moments after I was awake; it did not disturb my mind, but it lay like scales upon my eyes and prevented them from registering the fact that the candle was no longer burning. Then it would begin to seem unintelligible, as the thoughts of a former existence must be to a reincarnate spirit; the subject of my book would separate itself from me, leaving me free to choose whether I would form part of it or no; and at the same time my sight would return and I would be astonished to find myself in a state of darkness, pleasant and restful enough for the eyes, and even more, perhaps, for my mind, to which it appeared Who is the president of NSW?

David Elliott

Word embeddings for nuanced word relations

Large language models for improved coherence at scale



Prior Work on Writing Support Tools

SPELL AND GRAMMAR CHECKING

For a long time I use to go to bed earley.

Constrained, but not creative, support [Damerau, 1964], [Peterson, 1980], [Leacock et al, 2010], [Ge et al, 2018]

EXAMPLES & CROWDSOURCING

Hello Dr. [name],

I'm [name] with [Entrepreneurship Organization], a [University] organization where students use design to create local and social impact. My coach, [name], has put my team in contact with you.

Non-generative constrained, creative support

[Hui et al, 2018], [Maiden et al, 2018], [Bernstein et al, 2010], [Huang et al, 2020]

Key takeaway:

This thesis focused on an unsolved problem in writing support.

STORY CONTINUATION TASK

Once there was an adorable black kitty named Opal . She was very fluffy and soft, and everybody loved her. Unfortunately one day while she was coming home from her grandmother's house, she got lost in a dark forest. And she was trying to make her way through the trees.

Generative constrained, creative support [Roemmele, 2018], [Clark et al, 2018], [Calderwood et al, 2018]



14

Background Psychology,

NLP, and HCI.

Introduction Leveraging technology for new ways to write. Contribution 1

Design Space

Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria

Supporting writing extended metaphors.

Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.

Contribution 3 Sparks Supporting writing scientific explanations.

Conclusion

Future work and acknowledgements.



15

planning

fantasy plot ideation

translating

describe new character

reviewing

feedback on story opening

low constraint (many reasonable solutions)

memoir plot ideation

describe technical topic

feedback on plot ending

high constraint (few reasonable solutions)



planning

Machine-in-Loop (stories) BunCho

GHOST

translating

Writing with RNN Liminal Triggers

reviewing

Textlets Shakespeare

MirrorU

low constraint (many reasonable solutions)

| Heteroglossia | Machine-in-Loop (slogans) Style Thesaurus Metaphoria | Sparks |
|---------------|--|--|
| | | |
| IntroAssist | | AmbientLetter Semantic Web LyriSys StoryAssembler SMWS Play Write SmartCompose |
| | MepsBot AL | Dakje |
| | | |
| | | |
| | ŀ | high constraint |

(few reasonable solutions)



Background Psychology, NLP, and HCI.

Introduction Leveraging technology for new ways to write. Contribution 1 Design Space Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria

Supporting writing extended metaphors.

Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.

Contribution 3 Sparks Supporting writing scientific explanations.

Conclusion

Future work and acknowledgements.



18

Writing Metaphors is Constrained & Creative

We formulate metaphor creation as the following problem: What are the metaphorical connections between two nouns?

How is **anger** like <u>wood</u>? — → Burns when lit.

How is **peace** like a <u>window</u>? — Lets in the sunshine.

How is **gratitude** like a <u>stream</u>? → Flows over you.



Writing Metaphors is Constrained & Creative

Based on a literature review, our design goals are:

- generate suggestions that are coherent to context
- generate suggestions that result in divergent outcomes









Properties of wood from ConceptNet, querying HasA, UsedFor, & CapableOf relations.

- fencing in a yard
- building a boat
- burning when lit
- burn in a fireplace
- being composted
- feeling rough
- making a fire
- floats on water

• . . .





Rank properties of wood by semantic similarity to anger using word embedding distance (Word Mover's Distance).

- 1. burning when lit
- 2. making a fire
- 3. feeling rough
- 4. burn in a fireplace
- 5. build things

. . .

29.being composted30.fencing in a yard31.building a boat





Select distinct, highly ranked properties using semantic similarity threshold between all properties.

- 1. burning when lit
- 2. making a fire
- 3. burn in a fireplace
- 4. build things
- 5. feeling rough

. . .

29.being composted 30.fencing in a yard 31.building a boat



| Making Metaphors × + | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
|--|---|---|
| -> C 🖸 language-play.com/metaphoria/ | ☆ | ⊚ ≡ |
| Metaphoria | | |
| get inspired by an algorithmic companion | | |
| Write here | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| anger is a wood C | | |
| anger can burn when it like wood | | |
| anger can feel rough like wood | | |
| anger is for creating paper like wood | | |
| you can use anger to whittle a while like wood | | |
| + you can use anger to make a fire like wood | | |
| anger is used to build things like wood | | |
| anger often floats on water like wood | | |
| anger is for burning it to gain energy like wood | | |
| anger can weather when left outdoors like wood | | |
| | | |

Evaluation

- Study 1: Measure the quality of the generated metaphors
- Study 2: Controlled experiment on use of generated metaphors
- Study 3: Case study with professional writers



Study 1: Are the suggestions high quality?

We had expert writers annotate 144 metaphorical connections across our own algorithm and two competing algorithms.

| Algorithm | Apt |
|----------------------|------|
| Metaphoria | 97% |
| Thesaurus Rex | 100% |
| Intersecting Vectors | 49% |

Veale & Hao 2007 Comprehending and generating apt metaphors: a web-driven, case-based approach to figurative language. Gagliano et al. 2016 Intersecting Word Vectors to Take Figurative Language to New Heights.

| Specific | Imageable |
|----------|-----------|
| 82% | 100% |
| 47% | 100% |
| 43% | 53% |



Study 2: Is Metaphoria coherent?

We have 16 undergraduates each write 3 metaphors with Metaphoria and 3 without.



16 participants



Study 2: Does Metaphoria preserve diversity?

We measures the diversity of responses with Metaphoria and without.



6 prompts



Study 3: How do poets use Metaphoria?

Planning

with her hands.

Translation

PO1's responsePO2's responseMy island fills glasses like wine,Garden Worki'ts vines wrap around mywith my mother
and yellow, labe
her palms, the s
early spring. We
under the porch
with enemy wee
anew, strange comfort like the rest of an air-bedwith enemy wee
home, drunk and
and lighting the
making flowers

Table 4.8: Part of responses from three professional poets working with Metaphoria. Words highlighted in pink were input into Metaphoria by the poets, while words and phrases highlighted in green were suggestions that poets used.

Translation & Planning

PO3's response

with my mother, her tulips flaming blue and yellow, laboring to bloom beneath her palms, the soft lawn grating against early spring. We are wasting time, lingering under the porch light before dark, flirting with enemy weeds before my father returns home, drunk and swaying like a storm.

She is used for currency and jewelry and lighting the pathway. She is for making flowers rise up to collide Metaphor for restoring quiet Use a gun to paint a room Addiction can clog a sink drain like hair History can win a war The garden of wasted time Fear to extinguish a fire like sand ice is for finding the source of light swimming is like snow. it is for children You can use caution to build fear in a movie You can use witchcraft to listen to music like an ear Corruption can outrun you like a horse



Background Psychology, NLP, and HCI.

Introduction Leveraging technology for new ways to write. Contribution 1 Design Space Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria Supporting writing extended metaphors.

Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.

Contribution 3 Sparks Supporting writing scientific explanations.

Conclusion

Future work and acknowledgements.



31

Science Writing is Constrained & Creative



What Makes Tweetorials Tick: How Experts Communicate

KATY ILONKA GERO, Columbia University, USA VIVIAN LIU, Columbia University, USA SARAH HUANG, Barnard College, USA JENNIFER LEE, Columbia University, USA LYDIA B. CHILTON, Columbia University, USA

People are increasingly getting information and news from social media. On Twitter we are seeing the emergence of "tweetorials" - long, explanatory Twitter threads written by experts. In this work we study tweetorials as a form of science writing. While scientists have begun to champion the importance of Twitter as a science communication medium, few have studied how people are successfully using this medium to communicate complex and nuanced ideas. To understand how tweetorials work, we curated a collection of 46 clear and engaging tweetorials from multiple domains. We analyzed these tweetorials for the writing techniques that they employ, and found that while tweetorials use many traditional science writing techniques, they also use more subjective language, actively build credibility, and incorporate media in unique ways. In addition, we report on a workshop we ran to aid science PhD students in writing tweetorials, and find that while providing common tweetorial techniques improves their writing, the students still struggle to balance their scientific sensibilities with the informal tone associated with tweetorials. We discuss the implications of using informal and subjective language in science communication, as well as how technology can support

 $CCS\ Concepts: \bullet\ \textbf{Human-centered\ computing} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ computing; \bullet\ Applied\ complexity} \leftarrow CCS\ Concepts: \bullet\ \textbf{Human-centered\ computing} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ computing; \bullet\ Applied\ complexity} \leftarrow CCS\ Concepts: \bullet\ \textbf{Human-centered\ computing} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ computing; \bullet\ Applied\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ social\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ social\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ social\ complexity} \rightarrow Collaborative\ \textbf{and\ social\ social$

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Science communication, science writing, social media, Twitter, tweetorials Katy Ilonka Gero, Vivian Liu, Sarah Huang, Jennifer Lee, and Lydia B. Chilton. 2021. What Makes Tweetorials Tick: How Experts Communicate Complex Topics on Twitter. Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact. 5, CSCW2,

1 INTRODUCTION

More and more people are learning about the world not from newspapers or magazines, but from social media [49]. This information can come directly from experts, who have found social media to be a straightforward and low-barrier way to communicate their expertise to the public [52]. In particular, Twitter has become a popular platform for experts of all kinds. While academic communities on Twitter have been studied extensively [19, 35, 46], with a particular focus on how

Authors' addresses: Katy Ilonka Gero, katy@cs.columbia.edu, Columbia University, New York City, New York, USA; Vivian Liu, vivian.l@columbia.edu, Columbia University, New York City, New York, USA; Sarah Huang, Barnard College, New

York City, New York, USA; Jennifer Lee, Columbia University, New York City, New York, USA; Lydia B. Chilton, Columbia

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others the

422



Science Writing is Constrained & Creative

We formulate science writing support as a sentence continuation problem. For example:

Pseudo-random number generators are used by...

One application of glacial retreat research in the real world is...



Science Writing is Constrained & Creative

Similar to Metaphoria, our design goals are:

- generate sentences that are **coherent to context** •
- generate sentences that are **diverse** •



Algorithm for Sentence Completion using GPT-2 as the underlying language model

Baseline generation is too vague and repetitive. But sampling & high temperature won't work.

- 1. Make more specific words more likely modify the probability distribution with the normalized inverse word frequency
- 2. Increase diversity force first token of each new generation to be unique
- 3. Preserve accuracy with modified beam search search only from top 50 tokens



System Design for Sparks

| • • • TechTweets | × | + | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| $\leftarrow \rightarrow G$ | 🗘 🖹 languag | ge-play.co | m/tech-tweets/tool-study |
| your topic | is hum a | an-c | omputer i |
| | | | ~Get |
| One applicat | ion of human- the real w prompt] | -compute world is | er interaction in |
| | Chars: | : ' | Your ID is <u>123</u> . Write your twe brainstorm up here, ta |
| | | | if you click on the ligh |
| | 26 | | write your tweetorial h |
| | 25 | | " separate tweets like t |
| | 20 | | // |





Evaluation

Study 1: Measure the quality of the sparks across topics Study 2: Measure use of sparks by STEM graduate students



Study 1: How coherent and diverse are sparks?

- Three conditions: human-written gold standard, baseline decoding method, custom algorithm \bullet
- Coherence measured with human annotation; diversity measured with average word embedding distance \bullet







Study 2: How do writers make use of Sparks?

Table 3: Participant demographics. Low = once a year or so. Med = Once a month or so. High = once a week or so.

| ID | Discipline | Science Writing | |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------|------|
| | | (general / twitter) | |
| P1 | Climate Science | Low / Low | |
| P2 | Climate Science | Low / Never |] |
| P3 | Climate Science | Never / High | |
| P4 | Climate Science | Low / Low | glao |
| P5 | Computer Science | Low / Never | cor |
| P6 | Computer Science | Never / Never | |
| P7 | Political Science | Med / Med | |
| P8 | Psychology | Never / Low | |
| P9 | Psychology | Low / Low | mo |
| P10 | Public Health | Low / Low | |
| P11 | Public Health | Never / Never | |
| P12 | Public Health | Low / Never | |
| P13 | Public Health | Med / Med | |
| | | | |

Topic

Context Area

rainfall variability predicting climate change sea level change cier retreat over the holocene mputationally hard problems pseudorandomness theo document embeddings nat regulatory fit otivated impression updating measurement of sexism logistic regression deprivation indices threat multiplier

climate science climate science geophysics paleoclimate computer science theoretical computer science natural language processing psychology social psychology social psychology epidemiology public health environmental health



Study 2: Are sparks coherent in an actual writing task?



Participants said Sparks...

> was useful 9

13 participants



Study 2: How do writers make use of Sparks?



"My specialty is very specific and technical. And it's often hard to figure out how to spin things in ways that feel relevant to people who don't study this. Sea level rise is something that people would find relevant "

"Most of the time it [the system] was articulating the ideas that were already in my head in a

"The research that I do around sexism is not concerned with people's attitudes, and instead concerned about things like incomes or legal rights or education levels. And so I wouldn't have even thought to talk about like sexism as it relates to people's attitudes."



41

Study 2: What predicts usage & satisfaction?





Background Psychology, NLP, and HCI.

Introduction Leveraging technology for new ways to write. Contribution 1 Design Space Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria Supporting writing extended metaphors.

Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.

Contribution 3 **Sparks** Supporting writing scientific explanations.

Conclusion

Future work and acknowledgements.



Methodology

- Interviewed 20 creative writers, including 6 currently using an AI support tool
 - Purposeful sampling for maximum variation across writing genres and experiences •
- Qualitative analysis of interview transcripts
 - Used a general inductive approach •
 - Two researchers repeatedly read and discussed the transcripts ullet
 - Resulted in annotated quotes and two-level taxonomy ullet



Results: Taxonomy





Results: External v. Internal Dynamics

EXTERNAL DYNAMICS OF SUPPORT



INTERNAL DYNAMICS OF SUPPORT





Results: Taxonomy





Results: Writer Perception of Support Actor

TAXONOMY OF SUPPORT DYNAMICS

perception of support actor

availability



- Levels and kind of expertise
- Personalentioerience friend.äuthenticity
- The impossibility of a universal reader

Writers develop a mental model of support actors' individual characteristics, which modulates who they turn to for support.

"Is this a high school, college, PhD student? What is their level of experience of the topic at hand? Are they a skeptic or optimist?"

"If I want to know how something reads to another Indian person, I will show [my brother]. But if I'm writing a story about girlhood, I'll send it to my female

"The 'universal' perspective has been the perspective of cis straight white creativity men and any other perspective is just not considered universal."



Results: Writer Values

DYNAMICS



- The reader's sense of authenticity audience.
- The impact of viewing suggestions

Not just about how the writer feels, but what they project to their

"Once something is on the page, it's harder to imagine anything else."

Differing opinions on where authenticity lies: Crafting the ending, versus the storyline, versus drafting.

• Humans are more personal; computers are more private: "When my brother influences me, it feels like there's more of me in it."

Writers' comfort with influence is modulated by where their sense of authenticity lies.



What made some participants find a system useful?

How does the writer perceiventhessystem?

- Skeptical participants perceived the system to be incapable based on any bad suggestion.
- Trusting participants found all suggestions useful.

writer

- What values does the system support (or negate)?
 - Some participants value independence for idea generation.
 - Others value the execution of an idea over coming up with the idea themselves.





Background Psychology, NLP, and HCI.

Introduction Leveraging technology for new ways to write. Contribution 1 Design Space Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria Supporting writing extended metaphors. Contribution 4 Social Dynamics When and why writers turn to computers.

Contribution 3 **Sparks** Supporting writing scientific explanations.

Conclusion

Future work and acknowledgements.



51

How can generative systems support writers in constrained, creative tasks?



Contribution 1 Design Space

Defining the landscape of writing support tools.

Contribution 2 Metaphoria Supporting writing extended metaphors.



Contribution 3 Sparks Supporting writing scientific explanations.



Contribution 4 Social Dynamics

When and why writers turn to computers.





New Domains for Writing Support Academic paper writing

- Reduce the cognitive load of writing repetitive, technical text.
- Use fine-tuned language models to suggest concise, definitional sentences.





New Kinds of Writing Support Providing 'reader' perspectives

- Writers mostly think about support in terms of external perspectives
- Writers will need to understand where the computer is coming from

How engaging is this paragraph to read?

Harold stood at the foot of the bed, staring at the sheets. They were white, with intricate blue patterning of flowers and vines. He wasn't sure how the sheets would have been made. Was the pattern printed on with a big machine? Surely it wasn't hand-done; the design looked too smooth and even. He wasn't sure how a printing machine as big as a bed would work. He tried to imagine it working like a letterpress, rolling the sheet through a big drum with the pattern being pressed into it. He knew he was ignoring the issue. She wasn't there. The bed was empty.

The paragraph is not very engaging to read.

of external perspectives computer is coming from

How engaging is this paragraph to read?

Harold stood at the foot of the bed, staring at the sheets. They were white, with intricate blue patterning of flowers and vines. He wasn't sure how the sheets would have been made. Was the pattern printed on with a big machine? Surely it wasn't hand-done; the design locked too smooth and even. He wasn't sure how a printing machine as big as a bed would work. He tried to imagine it working like a letterpress, rolling the sheet through a big drum with the pattern being pressed into it. He knew he was ignoring the issue. She wasn't there. The bed was empty.

The paragraph is somewhat engaging to read. It flows smoothly and the detail included is interesting. However, the paragraph feels incomplete and could be further developed.





How are Generative Systems Used How do writers develop mental models of AI writing systems?

- Writers' develop *perceptions* (or mental models) of support actors • what are their perceptions of AI writing systems?
- What kind of misconceptions do they have, and are they corrected over time? •
- What interventions speed up the development of an accurate mental model? •









Writing is about communicating complex ideas with each other.

Computers compel us to reflect on what we care about in writing.

| MAN ST | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |







Thanks to my advisor, my lab mates, my fellow PhD hopefuls at Columbia and elsewhere, my friends and family in NYC, Cambridge, Sydney, & beyond, my poetry and writer friends, and most definitely my dog, who is very good at computers.

| RHUMAN STRENG | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |

